Extreme Aridity Pushes Trees to Their Physical Limits
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Drought-induced hydraulic failure is a leading cause of mortality of trees (McDowell et al., 2008; Anderegg et al., 2012) and has become a major concern in light of future climate predictions, with forests across the world showing signs of vulnerability to intense and prolonged drought events (Allen et al., 2010). We show here that Callitris tuberculata, a conifer species from extremely dry areas of Western Australia, is the most cavitation-resistant tree species in the world to date (mean xylem pressure leading to 50% loss of hydraulic function $[P_{50}] = -18.8$ MPa). Hydraulic conductance is maintained in these plants at pressures remarkably close to the practical limit of water metastability, suggesting that liquid water transport under the cohesion-tension theory has reached its operational boundary.

Coping with desiccation is one of the greatest challenges faced by plant life on land, and the evolution of specialized tissue for the transport of water played a key role in the colonization of continents (Black and Pritchard, 2002; Sperry, 2003). As well as evolving mechanisms to reduce water loss (e.g., stomata and waxy leaf surfaces), land plants also have to provide their aerial organs with water to fuel photosynthesis, supply metabolism, and control leaf temperature through transpiration, even when water availability is low. Driven by competition for limited resources, plants have perfected their vascular systems over hundreds of millions of years, enabling vertical transport of water to heights in excess of 100 m above the ground and resulting in the dominance of trees across a wide range of terrestrial ecosystems. However, trees are excluded from extremely dry and cold climates; we propose here that an absolute limit for water transport in trees exists, set by the physical properties of liquid water, restricting the existence of woody nonsucculent trees in dry deserts.

The movement of water against gravity in trees is driven by a remarkably simple process, described by the tension-cohesion theory (Tyree and Sperry, 1989; Tyree, 1997; Sperry, 2003). Evaporation at the leaf surface causes water in the plant to move up through a specialized transport tissue and drives water uptake from the soil. This leads water in the xylem to be stretched at negative pressures. However, cohesive forces due to hydrogen bonds bind water molecules together and also onto cell walls, sufficiently to maintain water in a liquid yet metastable state prone to sudden vaporization by cavitation. In moist soils, these pressures are moderate, typically above $-2$ MPa, but during drought, they decrease considerably, as plants are forced to extract water from drying soil, which reduces the stability of the water column. Below a specific pressure threshold, cavitation events occur when air-water menisci located at pores between xylem conduits break (Tyree, 1997; Cochard et al., 2009; Mayr et al., 2014), vaporizing sap, reducing xylem conductance, and eventually leading to plant death by desiccation (Brodribb et al., 2010; Urli et al., 2013). The xylem pressure at which cavitation occurs, leading to 50% loss of hydraulic function ($P_{50}$), is a trait that varies widely across species (Delzon et al., 2010; Bouche et al., 2014) and links with climate: xeric species are more resistant to cavitation than species that occupy more mesic habitats (Brodribb and Hill, 1999; Maherali et al., 2004; Choat et al., 2012; Pittermann et al., 2012). Conifers are generally more resistant to cavitation than angiosperms (Maherali et al., 2004; Choat et al., 2012), likely due to the presence of a pressure-activated safety valve that reduces the spread of air between xylem cells (Bouche et al., 2014). The most cavitation-resistant trees known
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Figure 1. *C. tuberculata* appearance, distribution range, and climate. A, *C. tuberculata* from the sampled population near Lake Grace in Western Australia. The inset shows a close-up view of the tuberculate female cones of this species (photograph by A. Wesolowski). B, Map of Australia showing *C. tuberculata*’s distribution (hatched area; the red star is the sampling location). Background colors represent mean annual precipitations. The inset at top left shows annual rainfall and temperature anomalies over the 20th century compared with the average (1961–1990) for southwestern Australia. Red bars highlight hot and/or dry years, and the black line indicates the 10-year moving average.
to date are conifers of the genus *Callitris* from Australia and New Caledonia, in particular *Callitris columellaris*, displaying a $P_{50}$ of $-16$ MPa (Brodribb et al., 2010). Here, we present the record cavitation resistance of *Callitris tuberculata* (Cupressaceae; Fig. 1; Supplemental Materials and Methods S1). This tree species is common in extremely arid ecosystems of southwestern Australia, where its range stretches far into the Great Victoria Desert (Fig. 1B). In this area, the climate is dry and hot, with most rainfall occurring during a short wet season (Supplemental Fig. S1). At its most extreme margin, the average annual rainfall is below 180 mm, and annual evapotranspiration can exceed rainfall 10 times, presumably making this species one of the most drought-tolerant trees in the world.

Our results show that this species from the desert tree line produces a previously unparalleled $P_{50}$ of $-18.8 \pm 0.6$ MPa ($n = 9$; Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S2; Supplemental Table S1), making it, to our knowledge, the most drought-resistant tree ever measured. At $-21$ MPa, the lowest pressure we could achieve with our device (thus extending the experimental xylem pressure range by nearly 20%, from $-17.3$ MPa; Brodribb et al., 2010), around 25% to 50% of xylem conduits were still functional, with the final cavitation events predicted to occur at about $-25$ MPa. Could evolution push xylem pressure to more extreme values to enable this species to colonize even drier habitats? Strikingly, physics’ answer is no, as *C. tuberculata* has reached the operational limit of water metastability.

According to the classical nucleation theory (Debenedetti, 1996), homogenous water cavitation occurs at pressures below $-120$ MPa at ambient temperature, as has been verified by experiments using microscopic liquid water inclusions in quartz (Zheng et al., 1991; Azouzi et al., 2013). Conversely, other experimental data suggest that bulk cavitation occurs heterogeneously on ubiquitous impurities at much higher pressures, from $-20$ to $-30$ MPa, depending on the method used to induce negative pressure, water purity, and water temperature (for review, see Caupin et al., 2012). Consistently, in recent experiments based on a synthetic tree (Wheeler and Stroock, 2008; Vincent et al., 2012), bulk cavitation occurs rapidly at tensions of around $-22$ MPa. The presence of impurities, dissolved minerals, and nutrients in xylem sap (Buhtz et al., 2004; Krishnan et al., 2011) leads us to speculate that bulk cavitation in tree xylem will likely occur at similar pressures, setting an absolute physical limit for water transport in trees during drought.

Drought stress has pushed this species to evolve the most resistant xylem, to the point that *C. tuberculata* is able to potentially maintain water flow up to the limit of physical properties of liquid water. Already growing in an environment severely limited by water availability,
its adaptation to future conditions (i.e. by lowering $P_{50}$) may be restricted by reaching this physical boundary. Indeed, in southwestern Australia, a strong trend toward a drier and warmer climate has been evidenced over the 20th century (Fig. 1B, inset). This could lead to a contraction of forest at the desert margin, but it also offers the possibility of a range expansion into historically wetter regions to the southwest.

Hydraulic failure is one of the main hypotheses to explain tree death following prolonged periods of drought (Anderegg et al., 2012). Experiments have shown that, after reaching cavitation levels of around 50% in conifers or 90% in angiosperms, trees cannot recover (Brodribb et al., 2010; Urali et al., 2013). Furthermore, a recent study showed that trees in all ecosystems function with similarly narrow safety margins regarding this threshold to cavitation (Chuat et al., 2012). Like southwestern Australia, many regions are projected to suffer from increasingly frequent and severe droughts (Stocker et al., 2013), highlighting the need to better understand the physiological responses of trees to drought stress to improve predictions of the impact of climate change on forests and woodlands. Our results suggest that *Callitris* is an ideal candidate for further investigations, for example into xylem anatomy modifications allowing the evolution of increased cavitation resistance. Evolution toward xylem safety from cavitation leads to a tolerance strategy, allowing plants to maintain limited function even in stressed conditions. We have discovered an absolute limit to this evolutionary path due to water metastability in the xylem, explaining why trees tend to be excluded from the most arid ecosystems.

**Supplemental Data**

The following supplemental materials are available.

- **Supplemental Figure S1.** Climate data.
- **Supplemental Figure S2.** Vulnerability curves for all individuals.
- **Supplemental Table S1.** Hydraulic traits for *Callitris* spp. individuals.
- **Supplemental Materials and Methods S1.** Detailed description of data collection and analysis.
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Materials and Methods:

Sampling

As part of investigations into record cavitation-resistant conifers, we collected samples (branches of about 40 cm) from a population of *Callitris tuberculata* near Lake Grace (WA, Australia) in July 2014. They were immediately wrapped in wet paper towels with foliage removed, and sent for measurement at the BIOGECO lab at INRA - University of Bordeaux (France; [http://sylvain-delzon.com/?page_id=536](http://sylvain-delzon.com/?page_id=536)) where they were kept in dark, damp and refrigerated conditions until measurements were conducted.

Vulnerability curves

Cavitation resistance was estimated with the flow-centrifuge technique, based on the Cavitron method (Cochard *et al.*, 2005), in which centrifugation is used to induce negative pressure in the xylem of the sample and conductance is monitored during spinning (as measured by the water flow through the stem). Samples were cut to length (27 cm), then bark was removed and the ends were re-cut with a clean razor blade. We used a specially designed centrifuge rotor, reinforced to allow previously unattainable speeds of above 13000 rpm. We thus reached a record maximum speed of 15000 rpm, which induces xylem pressure of around -21 MPa. Maximum conductance is estimated at low speed (high pressure), then we gradually increase rotation speed, repeatedly measuring conductance at least 3 times at each pressure step. As xylem pressure is forced to more negative values, cavitation events occur, leading to a drop in hydraulic conductance, which is classically represented as so-called vulnerability curves, percent loss of conductance (or PLC) as a function of pressure. Each individual vulnerability curve was fit using the Pammenter model (Pammenter and Vander Willigen, 1998), with $P_{50}$ derived as the pressure leading to a 50% decrease in conductance (Supplemental Fig. S2). This procedure has been extensively described elsewhere, see for example Methods in Delzon *et al.* (2010) and Jansen *et al.* (2012). Individual $P_{50}$ and other parameters for each individual are presented in Supplemental Table S1. Conductance measurements for all individuals were pooled and binned into 15 pressure classes, to create an average vulnerability curve (Fig. 1). The shaded area in Fig. 1 represents the 95% confidence interval for the mean obtained with the CLM option in the Means Procedure in SAS. All statistical analyses was conducted using SAS software (SAS 9.4 Institute, Cary, NC, USA).
This method also enables estimation of xylem specific conductivity, a measure of xylem capacity to transport water. No significant correlation with xylem safety from cavitation was found within this population of *C. tuberculata* (regression analysis \( r^2 = 0.035; P = 0.63 \)), indicating absence of a functional trade-off between safety and efficiency.

**Cavitation resistance data**

We extracted published measurements of cavitation resistance from Choat et al. (2012) and Bouche et al. (2014) of both Angiosperm and Gymnosperm species to allow comparison of *Callitris tuberculata* to other species. These datasets are available online respectively from the [Nature](http://www.nature.com) and [Journal of Experimental Botany](http://www.jxb.oxfordjournals.org) websites.

**Bulk cavitation curves**

Bulk heterogeneous cavitation curves for temperatures of 20, 30 and 40°C (likely to occur in the xylem of *C. tuberculata* during summer drought) were constructed based on equation 12 in Herbert et al. (2006).

**Climate data**

Annual temperature and precipitation deviation from the 1961-1990 average was obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (http://www.bom.gov.au). *Callitris tuberculata* occurrences were downloaded from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF; http://www.gbif.org), and used to outline an approximate distribution range. Climate layers were then obtained from Worldclim (Hijmans *et al.*, 2005) (http://www.worldclim.org) and the Global Aridity Index and PET datasets (http://www.cgiar-csi.org/data/global-aridity-and-pet-database), and data for each location was extracted in QGIS 2.4.0 (Quantum, 2011) using the point-sampling tool. We show here the average monthly precipitations and maximum daily temperature for all locations obtained from GBIF (Supplemental Fig. S1).
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Figure S1. Climate diagram of average monthly precipitations and maximum temperatures for *Callitris tuberculata*’s estimated range. Black bars represent monthly precipitations, and the red curve shows maximum temperatures.

Figure S2. Vulnerability curves for nine individual trees of *Callitris tuberculata*. Each point represents mean value of percent loss of hydraulic conductance over at least three measurements of hydraulic conductance (bars represent ± standard error). Lines represent the Pammenter model fit to each individual curve, and dashed lines show where the model was expanded beyond experimental data.

Table S1. Cavitation resistance parameters for each individual. $P_{50}$, $P_{12}$, and $P_{88}$ are, respectively, the xylem pressure inducing 50%, 12% and 88% loss of xylem hydraulic conductance. $S$ is the slope of the vulnerability curve at $P_{50}$. $k_s$ is the specific xylem conductivity, a standardized measurement of the samples’ capacity to transport water.
Figure S1. Climate diagram of average monthly precipitations and maximum temperatures for Callitris tuberculata's estimated range. Black bars represent monthly precipitations, and the red curve shows maximum temperatures.
Figure S2. Vulnerability curves for nine individual trees of *Callitris tuberculata*. Each point represents mean value of percent loss of hydraulic conductance over at least three measurements of hydraulic conductance (bars represent ± standard error). Lines represent the Pammeter model fit to each individual curve, and dashed lines show were the model was expanded beyond experimental data.
Supplemental Table S1. Cavitation resistance parameters for each individual. $P_{50}$, $P_{12}$ and $P_{88}$ are respectively, the xylem pressure inducing 50%, 12% and 88% loss of xylem hydraulic conductance. $S$ is the slope of the vulnerability curve at $P_{50}$. $k_s$ is the specific xylem conductivity, a standardized measurement of the samples' capacity to transport water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>$P_{50}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$P_{12}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$P_{88}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$S$ (% MPa$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_s$ (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ MPa$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-21.73</td>
<td>-18.35</td>
<td>-25.11</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>0.000390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-17.31</td>
<td>-13.45</td>
<td>-21.17</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>0.000359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-20.05</td>
<td>-17.33</td>
<td>-22.76</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>0.000261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-18.50</td>
<td>-14.92</td>
<td>-22.07</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>0.000468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-16.87</td>
<td>-13.38</td>
<td>-20.35</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>0.000344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-19.53</td>
<td>-16.22</td>
<td>-22.84</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>0.000566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-20.71</td>
<td>-17.03</td>
<td>-24.39</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>0.000329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-15.99</td>
<td>-9.77</td>
<td>-22.20</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>0.000484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-18.85</td>
<td>-13.13</td>
<td>-24.56</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>0.000226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>-18.84</strong></td>
<td><strong>-14.84</strong></td>
<td><strong>-22.83</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.33</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.000381</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>